(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 3493 /2003

PETITIONER

1. Smt. Uma Bai Bandhiya
   Aged about 50 years
   Wd/O Late Shri Motichand Bandhiya
   R/O at Post Bargha
   Tashil Pathria
   District Bilaspur
   (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 3149/03
Presented by Shri R.K. Vishwakarma
dated 10.10.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
   Through the Accountant General
   Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. The Block Development Officer
   Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. The Additional Assistant Development Commissioner
   Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. State of Chhattisgarh
   through Secretary

CERTIFIED COPY
G. Bilaspur

(4)

department of G.A.D.
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)

PETITION UNDER ARETICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS, DIRECTIONS AND ORDERS TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER :

(19)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 3493/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

23.1.2004

Petitioner by Shri Malay Bhaduri, Advocate.

State by Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate.

Heard.

The main grievance of the petitioner raised in this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is that the petitioner is a widow of late Shri Motichand Budhiya who was employee of the State of Madhya Pradesh before Reorganization of the State and was retired from the post of Assistant Development Extension Officer on 28.2.1997 on attaining the age of superannuation. At the time of retirement he was posted at Lormi, District-Bilaspur. At the time of death of petitioner's husband an amount of Rs. 1,60,006/- was lying in the G.P.F. account. Though the petitioner is getting pension after death of her husband but the aforesaid G.P.F. amount has not yet been paid to her. The respondents No. 2 and 3 had sent the details of the amount of G.P.F. of the petitioner's husband to the Accountant General, Madhya Pradesh vide Annexures P/1 and P/2 but the full and final payment of above G.P.F. amount has not been made to the petitioner. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to the Accountant General, State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur i.e. Respondent No.1 and he be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and make full and final payment of the G.P.F.

(20)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 3493/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

amount, for which learned Sr. Standing Counsel for Union of India has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation within a period of 10 days from today to the Respondent No.1 who in turn will decide the representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation in an objective manner by passing a reasoned order about the claim of the petitioner for G.P.F. amount.

With the above observations this petition is disposed of finally.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Thole